

Question	Answer
13.	$m\angle 4 = 54^\circ$, and $m\angle 8 = 54^\circ$, so $\angle 4 \cong \angle 8$. $\ell \parallel m$ by the Conv. of the Corr. \angle Post.
15.	$m\angle 1 = 55^\circ$, and $m\angle 5 = 55^\circ$, So $\angle 1 \cong \angle 5$. $\ell \parallel m$ by the Conv. of the Corr. \angle Post.
19.	$m\angle 1 = 105^\circ$, and $m\angle 8 = 105^\circ$, so $\angle 1 \cong \angle 8$. $n \parallel p$ by the Conv. of the Alt. Ext. \angle Thm.
21.	$m\angle 3 = 75^\circ$, and $m\angle 5 = 105^\circ$. $75^\circ + 105^\circ = 180^\circ$, so $\angle 3$ and $\angle 5$ are supp. $n \parallel p$ by the Conv. of the Same-Side Int. \angle Thm.
22.	a. Corr. \angle Post. b. Given c. Trans. Prop. of \cong d. $\overline{BC} \parallel \overline{DE}$ e. Conv. of the Corr. \angle Post.
37a.	$\angle URT$; $m\angle URT = m\angle URS + m\angle SRT$ by the \angle Add. Post. It is given that $m\angle SRT = 25^\circ$ and $m\angle URS = 90^\circ$, so $m\angle URT = 25^\circ + 90^\circ = 115^\circ$.
37b.	It is given that $m\angle SUR = 65^\circ$. From part a , $m\angle URT = 115^\circ$. $65^\circ + 115^\circ = 180^\circ$, so $\overrightarrow{SU} \parallel \overrightarrow{RT}$ by the Conv. of the Same-Side Int. \angle Thm.
38a.	a. $\angle 1 \cong \angle 2$ b. Trans. Prop. of \cong c. $\ell \parallel m$ d. Conv. of the Corr. \angle Post.
39.	It is given that $\angle 1$ and $\angle 2$ are supp., so $m\angle 1 + m\angle 2 = 180^\circ$. By the Lin. Pair Thm., $m\angle 2 + m\angle 3 = 180^\circ$. By the Trans. Prop. of $=$, $m\angle 1 + m\angle 2 = m\angle 2 + m\angle 3$. By the Subtr. Prop. of $=$, $m\angle 1 = m\angle 3$. By the Conv. of the Corr. \angle Post., $\ell \parallel m$.

Question	Answer
41.	The Reflex. Prop. is not true for \parallel lines, because a line is not \parallel to itself. The Sym. Prop. is true, because if $\ell \parallel m$, then ℓ and m are coplanar and do not intersect. So $m \parallel \ell$. The Trans. Prop. is not true for \parallel lines, because if $\ell \parallel m$ and $m \parallel n$, ℓ and n could be the same line. So they would not be \parallel .
42.	Yes; by the Vert. \angle Thm.; the \angle that forms a same-side int. \angle with the $55^\circ \angle$ measures 125° . $125^\circ + 55^\circ = 180^\circ$, so the same-side int. \angle s are supp. By the Conv. of the Same-Side Int. \angle Thm., $a \parallel b$.